

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: A Aurora
12748 NE 132nd Pl.
Kirkland, WA 98034
equavita@yahoo.com
425.823.7890

February 4, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF (Your Full Name Here)_____

State of Washington]

King County]

I, A Aurora, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is A Aurora. My address is 12748 NE 132nd Pl., Kirkland, WA 98034.
2. I am a yoga teacher.
3. I am aware of someone who developed an enormous brain tumor exactly where he held his cell phone (which he constantly used). After having read scientific research about the dangers of wireless devices, I have chosen to eliminate as many of them as possible from my personal use. However, I have a “smart meter” that my utility company installed at my home before I lived here, which I tested with a device that monitors harmful EMF emissions. This “smart meter” spiked into the “red zone” (labeled “dangerous” for humans) every five minutes. I am very concerned about the effects of this meter on my and my husband’s health.
4. Dozens of scientific studies have shown negative impacts of EMR on human health, even at low levels of exposure. The most commonly accepted guidelines are the Building Biology recommendations that list 1,000 microwatts/m² as the threshold for “extreme concern” (http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf). On May 31, 2011, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency fields as “possibly carcinogenic to humans.” The FCC does not use biologically determined guidelines that affect health, but rather uses a standard that measures thermal heating of biological tissue. The premise that there are no adverse impacts of EMR on the human body until it is cooked is completely ridiculous.

5. I urge the FCC to adopt new RF safety guidelines that take into account published research on the biological effects brought on by the ability of RF signals to communicate with living tissue, and more specifically, to consider the Building Biology guidelines for human health.

Respectfully submitted by

A Aurora

12748 NE 132nd Pl.

Kirkland, WA 98034

February 4, 2013